

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,515		06/24/2003	Kazuo Takemasa	AK-418XX	8865
207	7590	11/09/2004	•	EXAMINER	
WEINGA TEN POST		SCHURGIN, GAG	LEUNG, RICHARD L		
BOSTON,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3744	
				DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/602,515	TAKEMASA, KA					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	·	Richard L. Leung	3744					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication			ddress				
Period fo		appears on are cover	once wan the conceptuation a	<i>aa,</i> coo				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN COMMU	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, howe reply within the statutory mini riod will apply and will expire S atute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered time BIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	1 November 2004.						
• —	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	on panto quajio, .						
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)	Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•						
• —	·		•					
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been receivents have been receivents have been receiveriority documents hareau (PCT Rule 17.2)	ived. ived in Application No ve been received in this Nationa (a)).	ıl Stage				
Attachmer	at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	a) □	Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:	ГО-152)				



Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4824454 (Kondo et al.) in view of US 4592205 (Brodbeck et al.) and US 5327729 (Yanai et al.). Kondo et al. disclose a system for cooling an object 19, comprising a preserving vessel 17 with a preservation chamber, which is filled with liquid cryogen 18 such as liquid nitrogen (column 1, line 12). Referring particularly to FIG. 2 and column 2, said system further comprises a Stirling refrigerator 10 and a condensing chamber 13 outside said preserving vessel 17 wherein vaporized cryogen is re-liquefied. The gas phase part of the condensing chamber 13 is made to communicate with that of said preserving vessel 17 via conduit 15, the liquid phase part of the condensing chamber 13 is made to communicate with that of said preserving vessel 17 via conduit 16, and the cooling part 21 and 22 of said refrigerator is arranged inside the condensing chamber 13. It is clear from FIG. 2 that the liquid phase part of said condensing chamber 13 is set to a position higher than that of the liquid phase part of said preserving vessel 17 since the entire condensing chamber 13 appears to be positioned higher than said preserving vessel 17. Kondo et al. fail to disclose that said liquid nitrogen in the preserving vessel is supplied from a liquid nitrogen cylinder and fail to disclose a valve





Art Unit: 3744

associated with a liquid supply pipe from said cylinder to said preservation chamber of said preserving vessel, wherein the valve is opened to supply said liquid nitrogen to said preservation chamber when a liquid level of said liquid nitrogen in said preservation chamber, detected by a liquid level sensor in said preservation chamber, becomes lower than a predetermined level. Brodbeck et al. teach a delivery system for liquid nitrogen comprising a vessel 1 with a chamber holding liquid nitrogen (column 3, lines 21-22), a liquid nitrogen cylinder with a supply pipe 7 connected to said vessel 1 (column 3, lines 34-36), a valve 13 associated with said supply pipe 7, and a liquid level sensor 15 arranged in said chamber of said vessel. Said valve 13 is opened to supply said liquid nitrogen to said chamber of said vessel 1 when the liquid level of said liquid nitrogen in said chamber is detected to be lower than a predetermined level by said liquid level sensor 15 (column 3, lines 45-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included in the system disclosed by Kondo et al. said liquid nitrogen cylinder, valve, and liquid level sensor arrangement taught by Brodbeck et al. in order to fill said preserving vessel with cryogen and to automatically maintain the amount of cryogen in said system during use, for example, if too much cryogen has been vaporized in said preserving vessel and the liquid level in said vessel is too low to provide proper cooling. Kondo et al. further fail to disclose a pressure sensor arranged in said condensing chamber, and that said Stirling refrigerator is driven when a detection value of said pressure sensor is a predetermined value or higher, and further fails to disclose a gas discharge path and safety valve provided in communication with said condensing chamber that operates to relieve

Art Unit: 3744

dangerous pressure buildup in said condensing chamber. Yanai et al. teach a condensing chamber 1 for liquefying and storing nitrogen, and located within said condensing chamber 1 is the cold part 2 and 6 of a low-temperature refrigerator 3 for use in condensing nitrogen vapor. With particular reference now to column 3, lines 22-31, said chamber 1 is provided with a pressure sensor 16 that senses the pressure within said chamber 1. If the pressure drops below a predetermined pressure, than the operation of the cold part 2 of the refrigerator 3 is stopped. In other words, the refrigerator 3 is driven when a detection value of said pressure sensor 16 is a predetermined value or higher. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to regulate the Stirling refrigerator disclosed by Kondo et al. using the pressure-sensor arrangement taught by Yanai et al. in order to prevent unnecessary operation of the refrigerator, particularly when there is little vapor in the chamber, and therefore reduce the energy consumption of the system. Yanai et al. further teach a gas discharge path 18 and safety valve 19 in communication with said condensing chamber 1, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included this safety valve arrangement in said condensing chamber disclosed by Kondo et al. in order to prevent possible rupturing of said condensing chamber if the pressure within said chamber exceeds safe operating levels, as is already commonly practiced in the art.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are not persuasive in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.



Art Unit: 3744

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 4135548 (Sears): discloses a liquid nitrogen level controller for a container comprising a liquid level sensor that regulates a valve connected to a liquid nitrogen source to introduce more liquid nitrogen into the container if the liquid level decreases.

US 5195577 (Kameda et al.): discloses a cooling system comprising a vessel containing a cooling medium and a condensing chamber for condensing vaporized cooling medium wherein the lowest portion of the condenser is at a level higher than the top surface of the cooling medium in the vessel.

US 5293750 (Tamura et al.): discloses a control system for a container holding liquefied gas comprising a refrigerator and a plurality of sensors used in regulating the pressure and liquid level in the container.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number

Art Unit: 3744

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Leung whose telephone number is 703-306-4154. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Leung Examiner Art Unit 3744

rll